

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/148,474	Applicant(s) TAKASU ET AL.	
	Examiner CESAR B PAULA	Art Unit 2178	

All Participants:

(1) CESAR B PAULA.

(2) CAROLE QUINN.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 January 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, AND 10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER REQUESTED PERMISSION TO AMEND CLAIMS 1, AND 10 VIA EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT, BY
INSERTING "COMPUTER-EXECUTABLE" INTO THE PREAMBLE. THEREBY CORRECT A 35 USC 101 ISSUE.
THIS WOULD PLACE THE APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE..